Calendar-Year Program Report



Program Title: EC Care & Management

Calendar Year: 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Courses and Outcomes Assessed (entered by director of assessment)	2
Aggregate Report (entered by director of assessment)	3
Discussion of Results (completed at symposia)	4
Data-Driven Decisions (completed at symposia)	5
Resource Allocation Requests (completed at symposia)	6
Suggestions for Improving the Assessment Process (completed at symposia)	8
Appendix: Qualitative and Contextual Information from Taskstream (entered by director	of
assessment)	9

COURSES AND OUTCOMES ASSESSED

ISLO #1: Communication

PLO #2: Understand the importance of establishing, maintaining, and supporting partnerships with families . . .

ECHD 404

ECHD 420

ECHD 301 (M)

ISLO #2: Critical Thinking

PLO #1: Acquire and apply management principles and business skills . . .

ECHD 340

ECHD 401

ECHD 420 (M)

ISLO #2: Critical Thinking

PLO #3: Develop curriculum activities and create learning environments . . .

ECHD 125

ECHD 302

ECHD 401 (M)

ISLO 2: Critical Thinking

PLO 4: Apply skills of program and curriculum planning . . .

ECHD 201

ECHD 250

ECHD 409 (M

AGGREGATE REPORT

Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 1 – PSLO 2					
Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total	Outcome	Semester
			Measures		
ECHD	404	1	1	Met	Fall
ECHD	420			Missing	
ECHD	301(M)	1	1	Met	Spring
Program	Title Co	urses - Overall Findings i	for PSLO 2		
Total Sec	Total Sections Selected for Assessment 2				
Total Sec	Total Sections Assessed 2				
% Section	% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed) 100%				
Recomm	endations	s, Reflections, and			
Notes:					
Appende	d.				

A	Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 2 - PSLO 1					
	Subject	Course	Sections Participating	<u>Total</u>	Outcome	Semester
				Measures		
	ECHD	340	1	1	Not Met	Fall
	ECHD	401			Missing	
	ECHD	420(M)			Missing	
	Program	Title Co	urses - Overall Findings t	for PSLO 4		
	Total Sections Selected for Assessment 2					
	Total Sections Assessed 1					
	% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed) 0%					
	Recommendations, Reflections, and					
	Notes:					
	Appende	d.				

Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 2 - PSLO 3					
Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total Measures	Outcome	Semester
ECHD	125	1	1	Met	Fall
ECHD	302			Missing	
ECHD	401(M)			Missing	
Program	Title Co	<u>urses - Overall Findings i</u>	for PSLO 3		
Total Sec	Total Sections Selected for Assessment 1				
Total Sec	Total Sections Assessed 1				
% Section	% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed) 100%				
Recomm	endations	s, Reflections, and			
Notes:					
Appende	d.				

Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 2 - PSLO 4					
Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total	Outcome	Semester
			Measures		
ECHD	201	1	1	Met	Spring
ECHD	250	1	1	Exceeded	Fall
ECHD	409(M)			Missing	
Progran	n Title Co	urses - Overall Findings t	for PSLO 4		
Total Se	Total Sections Selected for Assessment 2				
Total Se	Total Sections Assessed 2				
% Section	% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)			100%	
Recomn	<u>nendation</u>	s, Reflections, and			
Notes:					
Appende	ed.				

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Directions: This portion of the document is designed to provide context for results, to discuss individual instructors' input on whether or not the methods they are using are effective.

Some questions to consider: is the assessment process for the outcome you're assessing sufficiently robust?

Are enough sections of the course being assessed to represent an accurate portrayal of program success?

If the targets have been met, might they be raised in the future?

If targets are consistently exceeded, might the assignment be made more demanding to challenge students effectively?

DATA-DRIVEN DECISIONS

Directions: What will you change as a result of the data?

If targets are not met: best practices suggest we make changes to course content, rubrics, or the assessment process, and spend the next cycle year reviewing the courses for which we didn't meet targets *in addition to* the PSLOs up for that cycle year. If there are problems, we want to catch them quickly. So, if targets are not met, what will be changed, and what is the timeline for addressing the issue?

If targets are met: Many people are under the impression that as long as targets are met, then there is no need to make changes. As evidenced in the directions in the previous section, we can still make changes. Perhaps a new target would be appropriate? Perhaps the assignment should be more challenging for students? Perhaps a more robust measurement of the PSLO or ISLO could be made?

RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUESTS

RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUEST FORM

Guidelines for Request:

- 1. Please ensure the request is linked to learning outcomes (course, program, and/or institutional)
- 2. Complete this form and send it to your academic dean for review and potential consideration at Provost's Cabinet.

	OSAL INFORMATION:
Progra	ant's Name: m Title:
a.	Please describe the request (what is the problem that the request is trying to solve?)
b.	Describe and or list the resource(s) you hope to acquire as a result of this request. (For instance are you looking for course materials, additional instructors, etc.? What is the problem that this request is trying to solve?)
C.	How is the request linked to learning outcomes assessment?
d.	Please include any data that will help support this request (learning outcomes data)
e.	Describe briefly your follow-up assessment (currently we assess on a three-year cycle, but learning outcomes that are addressed with resource allocation should be assessed again as soon as possible to determine the viability and sustainability of resource allocation)
f.	Please include any alternative sources of funding you have considered for this initiative (grants, different pools of money on campus, etc.)
g.	Approximately how many students do you anticipate will be served by this request each Academic year?
h.	Total Amount Requested:

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS
Directions: some proposed areas of improvement might include: collection of data, distribution of data, what kinds of data is included/omitted, timelines, when or how work is completed, delegation of responsibilities, etc.

APPENDIX A: QUALITATIVE AND CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION FROM TASKSTREAM

ECHD 404

In general writing for our students continues to be a concern, providing our students with the tools necessary to be effective writers should be a priority for the college. Many students are coming to us with out anything in their toolbox.

Take a closer look at the alignment of Course SLO's to ISLO's. This course has been identified in program assessment maps as the competency or mastery checkpoint for Communication Written, I would like to revisit that.

ECHD 301

Create an EC Critical Thinking Rubric and Communication Rubric to replace the AACU Rubric. The EC Critical Thinking and Communication Rubric would be similar to the ISLO 5 Rubric using the NAEYC Professional Standards as a guide.

Take a closer look at the alignment of Course SLO's to ISLO's. This course has been identified in program assessment maps as the competency or mastery checkpoint for Communication Written and Critical Thinking,

ECHD 340

Recommendations:

- 1. Provide examples of Center Program Policies regarding
- Late-Pick Up.
- 2. Share sample administration messages; asses in class as part of lecture the approach and impact of such

correspondences.

3. Create a Discussion Board to share reflections and thoughts, perspectives on developing parent-friendly administrative messages.

Reflections/Notes:

1. I offered much feedback following the assignment - which perhaps should be shared prior using the recommendations

above.

- 2. Consider draft versions of the Program Policy to allow for student revision and resubmission.
- 3. Require students research a minimum # of Center Late Pick-Up Policies and require each be included as references.