



# Calendar-Year Program Report



Program Title: HEFI  
Calendar Year: 2020

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Courses and Outcomes Assessed (entered by director of assessment) .....2  
Aggregate Report (entered by director of assessment) .....3  
Discussion of Results (completed at symposia).....4  
Data-Driven Decisions (completed at symposia) .....5  
Resource Allocation Requests (completed at symposia) .....6  
Suggestions for Improving the Assessment Process (completed at symposia) .....8  
  
Appendix: Qualitative and Contextual Information from Taskstream (entered by director of  
assessment) .....9

**COURSES AND OUTCOMES ASSESSED**

ISLO #1: Communication – Oral & Written  
PSLO #3: Effective Communication

HEFI 375  
HEFI 405  
HEFI 310  
HEFI 408  
HEFI 407 (M)

ISLO #2: Critical Thinking – Critical Analysis  
PSLO #1: Physical Fitness Assessment

HEFI 401  
HEFI 402  
HEFI 407 (M)

ISLO #2: Critical Thinking – Problem Solving  
PSLO #2: Individualized and Group Exercise Program

HEFI 401  
HEFI 402  
HEFI 407 (M)

AGGREGATE REPORT

<b>Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 1 - PSLO 3</b>						
<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sections Participating</u>	<u>Total Measures</u>	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>	
HEFI	375	1	1	Met	Spring	
HEFI	405	1	1	Met	Spring	
HEFI	310			Missing		
HEFI	408			Missing		
HEFI	407(M)	1	1	Met	Spring	
<b><u>Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for PSLO 3</u></b>						
Total Sections Selected for Assessment						
Total Sections Assessed						
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)						
<b><u>Recommendations, Reflections, and Notes:</u></b>						
Appended.						

<b>Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 2 – PSLO 1</b>						
<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sections Participating</u>	<u>Total Measures</u>	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>	
HEFI	401	1	1	Met	Spring	
HEFI	402			Missing		
HEFI	407(M)	1	1	Met	Spring	
<b><u>Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for PSLO 1</u></b>						
Total Sections Selected for Assessment						
Total Sections Assessed						
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)						
<b><u>Recommendations, Reflections, and Notes:</u></b>						
Appended.						

<b>Assessment Results - AACU VALUE Rubric for ISLO 2 - PSLO 2</b>						
<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	<u>Sections Participating</u>	<u>Total Measures</u>	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>	
HEFI	401	1	1	Met	Spring	
HEFI	402			Missing		
HEFI	407(M)	1	1	Met	Spring	
<b><u>Program Title Courses - Overall Findings for PSLO 2</u></b>						
Total Sections Selected for Assessment						
Total Sections Assessed						
% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those assessed)						
<b><u>Recommendations, Reflections, and Notes:</u></b>						
Appended.						

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

**Directions:** This portion of the document is designed to provide context for results, to discuss individual instructors' input on whether or not the methods they are using are effective.

Some questions to consider: is the assessment process for the outcome you're assessing sufficiently robust?

Are enough sections of the course being assessed to represent an accurate portrayal of program success?

If the targets have been met, might they be raised in the future?

If targets are consistently exceeded, might the assignment be made more demanding to challenge students effectively?

## DATA-DRIVEN DECISIONS

**Directions:** What will you change as a result of the data?

**If targets are not met:** best practices suggest we make changes to course content, rubrics, or the assessment process, and spend the next cycle year reviewing the courses for which we didn't meet targets *in addition to* the PSLOs up for that cycle year. If there are problems, we want to catch them quickly. So, if targets are not met, what will be changed, and what is the timeline for addressing the issue?

**If targets are met:** Many people are under the impression that as long as targets are met, then there is no need to make changes. As evidenced in the directions in the previous section, we can still make changes. Perhaps a new target would be appropriate? Perhaps the assignment should be more challenging for students? Perhaps a more robust measurement of the PSLO or ISLO could be made?

## RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUESTS

### RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUEST FORM

Guidelines for Request:

1. Please ensure the request is linked to learning outcomes (course, program, and/or institutional)
2. Complete this form and send it to your academic dean for review and potential consideration at Provost's Cabinet.

PROPOSAL INFORMATION:

Applicant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Program Title: \_\_\_\_\_

- a. Please describe the request (what is the problem that the request is trying to solve?)
- b. Describe and or list the resource(s) you hope to acquire as a result of this request. (For instance, are you looking for course materials, additional instructors, etc.? What is the problem that this request is trying to solve?)
- c. How is the request linked to learning outcomes assessment?



- d. Please include any data that will help support this request (learning outcomes data)
- e. Describe briefly your follow-up assessment (currently we assess on a three-year cycle, but learning outcomes that are addressed with resource allocation should be assessed again as soon as possible to determine the viability and sustainability of resource allocation)
- f. Please include any alternative sources of funding you have considered for this initiative (grants, different pools of money on campus, etc.)
- g. Approximately how many students do you anticipate will be served by this request each Academic year?
- h. Total Amount Requested: \_\_\_\_\_

#### SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

**Directions:** some proposed areas of improvement might include: collection of data, distribution of data, what kinds of data is included/omitted, timelines, when or how work is completed, delegation of responsibilities, etc.

**APPENDIX A: QUALITATIVE AND CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION FROM TASKSTREAM**