Calendar-Year Program Report



Program Title: HVAC Trades Calendar Year: 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Courses and Outcomes Assessed (entered by director of assessment)	.2
Aggregate Report (entered by director of assessment)	.3
Discussion of Results (completed at symposia)	.4
Data-Driven Decisions (completed at symposia)	.5
Resource Allocation Requests (completed at symposia)	
Suggestions for Improving the Assessment Process (completed at symposia)	8.
Appendix: Qualitative and Contextual Information from Taskstream (entered by director of	of
assessment)	

COURSES AND OUTCOMES ASSESSED

ISLO #1: Communication – O,W

PSLO #2: Communicate in a manner that supports business practices . . .

HVAC 104

HVAC 106

ACHP 105 (M)

CONS 151

ISLO #2: Critical Thinking – Problem Solving

PSLO #1: Identify, create, and professionally solve the technical problems . . .

HVAC 101

HVAC 201

HVAC 205 (M)

AGGREGATE REPORT

Assessmen	t Results	- AACU VALUE Rubric	for ISLO 1 - PSI	LO 2	
Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total	Outcome	Semester
			Measures		
HVAC	104	1	1	Met	Fall
HVAC	106	1	1	Met	Spring
ACHP	105(M)			Not Offered	
CONS	151			No Measures/No Findings	
Program	Title Co	urses - Overall Findings	for PSLO 2		
Total Sec	Total Sections Selected for Assessment			3	
Total Sec	Total Sections Assessed			2	
% Section	% Sections Meeting or Exceeding Target (of those as			100%	
Recomm	endations	s, Reflections, and			
Notes:					
Appende	d.				

Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total Measures	Outcome	Semester
HVAC	101	1	1	Met	Spring
HVAC	201			No Measures/No Findings	
HVAC	205(M)	1	1	Met	Fall
Program	Title Co	urses - Overall Findings i	for PSLO 1		
Total Sections Selected for Assessment				3	
Total Sections Assessed				2	
% Section	ns Meetin	g or Exceeding Target (of	those assessed)	100%	
Recomm	endations	s, Reflections, and			
Notes:					
Appende	d.				

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Directions: This portion of the document is designed to provide context for results, to discuss individual instructors' input on whether or not the methods they are using are effective.

Some questions to consider: is the assessment process for the outcome you're assessing sufficiently robust?

Are enough sections of the course being assessed to represent an accurate portrayal of program success?

If the targets have been met, might they be raised in the future?

If targets are consistently exceeded, might the assignment be made more demanding to challenge students effectively?

DATA-DRIVEN DECISIONS

Directions: What will you change as a result of the data?

If targets are not met: best practices suggest we make changes to course content, rubrics, or the assessment process, and spend the next cycle year reviewing the courses for which we didn't meet targets *in addition to* the PSLOs up for that cycle year. If there are problems, we want to catch them quickly. So, if targets are not met, what will be changed, and what is the timeline for addressing the issue?

If targets are met: Many people are under the impression that as long as targets are met, then there is no need to make changes. As evidenced in the directions in the previous section, we can still make changes. Perhaps a new target would be appropriate? Perhaps the assignment should be more challenging for students? Perhaps a more robust measurement of the PSLO or ISLO could be made?

RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUESTS

RESOURCE ALLOCATION REQUEST FORM

Guidelines for Request:

- 1. Please ensure the request is linked to learning outcomes (course, program, and/or institutional)
- 2. Complete this form and send it to your academic dean for review and potential consideration at Provost's Cabinet.

	OSAL INFORMATION:
	ant's Name: m Title:
	Please describe the request (what is the problem that the request is trying to solve?)
b.	Describe and or list the resource(s) you hope to acquire as a result of this request. (For instance are you looking for course materials, additional instructors, etc.? What is the problem that this request is trying to solve?)
c.	How is the request linked to learning outcomes assessment?
d.	Please include any data that will help support this request (learning outcomes data)
e.	Describe briefly your follow-up assessment (currently we assess on a three-year cycle, but learning outcomes that are addressed with resource allocation should be assessed again as soon as possible to determine the viability and sustainability of resource allocation)
f.	Please include any alternative sources of funding you have considered for this initiative (grants, different pools of money on campus, etc.)
g.	Approximately how many students do you anticipate will be served by this request each Academic year?
h.	Total Amount Requested:

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS
Directions: some proposed areas of improvement might include: collection of data, distribution of data, what kinds of data is included/omitted, timelines, when or how work is completed, delegation of responsibilities, etc.

APPENDIX A: QUALITATIVE AND CONTEXTUAL INFORMATION FROM TASKSTREAM

HVAC 101

Summary of Findings: Unfortunately, due to the COVID-19 lockdown, I was unable

to proctor the EPA608 Exam at SUNY Canton. ESCO

Institute, which is the testing contractor I use, has established a remote testing protocol which has not previously been permitted. I performed the test preparation as normal with the student cohort. They have been informed of the procedure for taking the test directly. I do not currently have a method for tracking success. Next year, we should be able to test as

normal.

Results: Target Achievement: Met

Recommendations: None at this time. Hopefully next year will be more normal.

HVAC 205:

Recommendations: The assignment should more precisely specify the level of

difficulty for the project. Perhaps include a list of example

projects with the assignment handout.

A rough draft of the assignment should be required earlier in

the semester.