

Curriculum Coordinator: Rosemary Philips Date: January 19, 2017

#### What was assessed? Legal Studies Program Learning Outcomes

PSLO	Defined

- **1** Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate in writing.
- 2 Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate orally.
- 3 Understand and explain the structure and functions of the American legal system, including the interplay of constitutions, statutes, common law and administrative law.
- 4 Understand and explain the roles of judges, attorneys, mediators, legal assistants, and others who act within the legal system.
- 5 **Understand and explain the jurisdictional requirements of federal and state courts.**
- 6 Understand and articulate the role of common law in the American legal system, including the concepts of mandatory (stare decisis) and persuasive authority.
- 7 Identify the ethical implications inherent in a particular situation, and evaluate the particular course of action to be taken in the face of countervailing pressures.
- 8 Understand the impact of existing and emerging technologies on the legal system.
- 9 Demonstrate Specialized Knowledge of the Law
- 10 Apply Overall Understanding of the Law

#### What Outcomes [PLO] Were Assessed in Fall 2016 Courses:

PLO	Courses	Defined
1	BSAD 201 and 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410, 429, 480	Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate in writing.
2	BSAD 201 and 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410, 429, 480	Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate orally.
3	BSAD 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410	Understand and explain the structure and functions of the American legal system, including the interplay of constitutions, statutes, common law and administrative law.
4	BSAD 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410	Understand and explain the roles of judges, attorneys, mediators, legal assistants, and others who act within the legal system.
5	BSAD 202; LEST 330, 350, 375, 410	Understand and explain the jurisdictional requirements of federal and state courts.
6	BSAD 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410	Understand and articulate the role of common law in the American legal system, including the concepts of mandatory (stare decisis) and persuasive authority.
7	BSAD 202; LEST 330, 350, 360, 375, 410, 480	Identify the ethical implications inherent in a particular situation, and evaluate the particular course of action to be taken in the face of countervailing pressures.
8	LEST 330, 480	Understand the impact of existing and emerging technologies on the legal system.
-0	BSAD 202; LEST 330,	Demonstrate Specialized Knowledge of the Law

P AD 201 202; LEST 330, 350, 410, 480

Apply Overall Understanding of the Law

#### How was the assessment accomplished?

#### Assessments Utilized:

- Written Assignments
- Mentor Evaluations
- Reflective Journal Writing
- Pre and Post Tests

#### How was the assessment accomplished?

#### Measurement Strategy:

- AACU Written Communication rubric used for BSAD 201 and LEST 330
- AACU Critical Thinking rubric utilized for the final research paper in LEST 340, 360, and 410
- Traditional Grading Rubric used for BSAD 202 and LEST 350, 375, 429, and 480
- Questions/Responses on Pre and Post-Tests that include true/false and multiple choice questions

# **PLO Assessment Findings**



#### PLO 1: Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate in writing.

					,		
•_ Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	55	11	20%	5	9%	38	69%
BSAD 201	3	1	33%			2	67%%
BSAD 202	10	4	40%			5	50%
LEST 330	5					5	100%
LEST 350	5					5	100%
LEST 360	3	3	100%				
LEST 375	4					4	100%
LEST 410	2	1	50%	1	50%		
LEST 429	4					4	100%
LEST 480	3					3	100%



#### PLO 2: Demonstrate ability to effectively and professionally communicate orally.

·							
• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	48	10	20%	6	13%	31	65%
BSAD 202	10	4	40%			5	50%
LEST 330	3					3	100%
LEST 340	4					4	100%
LEST 360	3	3	100%				
LEST 375	4					4	100%
LEST 410	2	1	50%	1	50%		
LEST 429	3	1	33%			2	67%
LEST 480	3					3	100%



PLO 3: Understand and explain the structure and functions of the American legal system, including the interplay of constitutions, statutes, common law and administrative law.

• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	32	6	19%	5	16%	21	66%
BSAD 202	6	3	50%			3	50%
LEST 330	2					2	100%
LEST 350	2					2	100%
LEST 360	1	1	100%				
LEST 375	2					2	100%
LEST 410	3	1	33%	2	67%		

PLO 4: Understand and explain the roles of judges, attorneys, mediators, legal assistants, and others who act within the legal system.

<u>e</u>					_		
Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	27	8	30%	3	11%	16	5%9
BSAD 202	6	3	50%			3	50%
LEST 330	1					1	100%
LEST 350	3					3	100%
LEST 360	2	2	100%				
LEST 375	2					2	100%
LEST 410	4	2	50%	2	50%		

PLO 5: Understand and explain the jurisdictional requirements of federal and state courts.

•_ Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	22	6	27%	3	14%	13	59%
BSAD 202	6	3	50%			3	50%
LEST 330	3					3	100%
LEST 350	2					2	100%
LEST 375	2					2	100%
LEST 410	4	2	50%	2	50%		



PLO 6: Understand and articulate the role of common law in the American legal system, including the concepts of mandatory (stare decisis) and persuasive authority.

• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	39	8	21	5	13	25	64
BSAD 202	8	3	38%			4	50%
LEST 330	3					3	100%
LEST 350	2					2	100%
LEST 360	2	2	100%				
LEST 375	3					3	100%
LEST 410	4	2	50%	2	50%		



PLO 7: Identify the ethical implications inherent in a particular situation, and evaluate the particular course of action to be taken in the face of countervailing pressures.

• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	26	7	27%	7	27%	12	46%
BSAD 202	4	2	50%			2	50%
LEST 330	1					1	100%
LEST 350	1					1	100%
LEST 360	2	2	100%				
LEST 375	1					1	100%
LEST 410	5	3	60%	2	40%		
LEST 480	3					3	100%

PLO 8: Understand the impact of existing and emerging technologies on the legal system.

• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	10					10	100%
LEST 330	5					5	100%
LEST 480	5					5	100%



PLO 9: Demonstrate Specialized Knowledge of the Law

• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	40	8	20%	5	13%	27	68%
BSAD 202	2	1	50%			1	50%
LEST 330	3					3	100%
LEST 360	3	3	100%				
LEST 375	4					4	100%
LEST 410	5	3	60%	2	40%		



#### PLO 10: Apply Overall Understanding of the Law

-							
• Results	Measures	Not Met	%	Met	%	Exceeded	%
All Courses	1	4	24%			13	76%
BSAD 201	3	1	33%			2	67%
BSAD 202	4	2	50%			2	50%
LEST 330	4					4	100%
LEST 350	1					1	100%
LEST 410	1	1	100%				
LEST 480	4					4	100%

## **Course Outcome Assessment Findings**



#### BSAD 201: Business Law I

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
	Section A: Using the AACU VALUE		
	Rubric for Written Communication,		
	students will be assessed on their ability		
	to write a case brief on Miles v. City		
	Council of Augusta, GA.	80%	Exceeded
	Section B: Using the AACU VALUE		
1: Write and	Rubric for Written Communication,		
understand the process	students will be assessed on their ability		
of writing case briefs.	to write a case brief on Beverly Glen		
or writing case briefs.	Music Inc. v. Warner Communication.	90%	Exceeded
	Section C: Using the AACU VALUE		
	Rubric for Written Communication,		
	students will be assessed on their ability		
	to write a case brief on People v.		
	Hadfield, 119 A.D.3d 1217, 990 N.Y.S.2d		
	683 (3rd Dept. 2014).	55.3%	Not Met

#### BSAD 202: Business Law II

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized Mandatory pretest questions are randomly selected from the	Actual %	Outcome
<ol> <li>Understand and apply principles of Bankruptcy Law and Reorganization to various factual scenarios.</li> </ol>	same pool of questions as the final examination. Score on the pretest taken in first week of class is then compared to final examination to measure effectiveness of the course. The class average, using those students who completed both	61.98%	Exceeded
	the pretest and the final examination will be at least 25% higher for the final examination than for the pretest.	14.67%	Not Met
2. Demonstrate understanding of Labor Law including various job benefits,	, Same as above.	61.98%	Exceeded
unionization, and equal opportunity.		14.67%	Not Met
3. Understand and explain	Same as above.	61.98%	Exceeded
Administrative Law, its function, and its procedures.		14.67%	Not Met
4: Understand and explain the rules of bailment of personal	Same as above.	61.98%	Exceeded
property.		14.67%	Not Met
5. Understand and explain the formation, termination, and liability issues surrounding	Same as above.	61.98%	Exceeded
agency.		14.67%	Not Met



#### LEST 330: Legal Writing

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
1. Create legal			
documents such as	AACU value rubric will be used to score		
client letters,	Chapter 15 writing assignment. Students		
memoranda of law,	will score between 10-15 points on the		
and pleadings.	AACU Written Communication Rubric.	85.7%	Met

#### **LEST 340: Constitutional Law**

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
1. Discuss recent and	Using the AACU VALUE Rubric for Critical		
important Supreme	Thinking, students will be assessed on		
Court cases on	their ability to write a research paper		
contemporary issues	analyzing the Supreme Court's		
such a gun control,	treatment of an issue relating to	60%	Not Met
health care, right to	substantive due process and		
privacy and other	fundamental rights. 70% of students will		
modern constitutional	score 10 or higher on the AACU VALUE		
issues.	Rubric for Critical Thinking.		

#### **LEST 350: Civil Litigation**

.

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
1. Understand and explain specific requirements for cases and litigants to enter the legal system including concepts of jurisdiction and standing.	Mandatory pre-test is given at course start using questions taken from final exam pool. The pre-test score is compared with the final exam score to gauge improvement. The class average should be 25 points higher from pre-test to final exam.	67.6%	Exceeded
2. Explain what remedies are available to litigants and determine the appropriate remedy in a given situation.	<sup>e</sup> Same as above.	67.6%	Exceeded
<ol> <li>Prepare pleadings, motions and responses to motions that conform to specific procedural requirements.</li> </ol>	<sup>n</sup> Same as above.	67.6%	Exceeded
4: Explain pre-trial and trial procedures.	Same as above.	67.6%	Exceeded
5. Discuss and critique the functions and limitations of judges juries, and other workers in the legal system.	, Same as above.	67.6%	Exceeded

#### LEST 360: Family Law

۰.

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
1. Understand and apply the meaning of, and legal issues surrounding marriage, civil unions and divorce.	Using the AACU VALUE Rubric for Critical Thinking, students will be assessed on their ability to read and analyze the United States Supreme Court case of Obergefell v. Hodges. 70% of the students will achieve a score of 10 or higher on the rubric.	45.83%	Not Met

# **LEST 375: Immigration Law and Border Control**

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
1. Analyze and explain the concept of sovereignty and the powers of the Federal branches of government related to sovereignty, immigration and border control.	Students will take a mandatory pre-test using question sets taken from the final exam. These scores will be compared to the student's final exam score to measure effectiveness. The student will score 20 points higher on the Final Exam than on the Pre-Test.	43.4%	Exceeded
2. Understand and explain United States immigration policies from a historical perspective prior to the attacks of September 11, 2001.	Same as above.	43.4%	Exceeded
3. Understand and explain post September 11, 2001 immigration policies, the role of the Department of Homeland Security, and present restrictions on visitation and entry.	Same as above.	43.4%	Exceeded
4. Understand and explain present methods for obtaining and losing US citizenship and the immigration process and requirements.		43.4%	Exceeded

# **LEST 410:** American Indian Law and Federal Indian Policy

Course SLOs assessed	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
4. Compare the curren	t		
legal and cultural	AACU value rubric will be used to score		
issues faced by Indian	Final Research Paper. Students will score		
Nation throughout	between 10-15 points on the AACU		
Indian Country.	Critical Thinking Rubric.	87.57%	Met



#### **LEST 429: Orientation to Culminating Experience**

Course SLOs assessed 1. Prepare an appropriate resume	Target / Measure Utilized	Actual %	Outcome
for job searches and interviews.	Students will prepare a resume that meets professional standards for grammar, spelling, content and format. 85% of students participating in the course will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
2. Successfully complete a job search interview.	Students will successfully complete a job/internship interview, either with an employer or with an instructor. 85% of the students will meet this goal.	50%	Not Met
3. Identify appropriate mentorship opportunities in law firms or similar organizations that are commensurate with the student's area of interest.	Each student will identify and list 20 organizations, with address and telephone number, and contact person, where he/she is interested in performing an internship. 85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
4: Complete sample (similar) internship agreement with a law firm or similar organization.	Students will complete a sample internship agreement. 85% of students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
5. Discuss and assess the criteria necessary to successfully complete the culminating experience or senior project	Students will exhibit an understanding of the criteria necessary for successful completion of their internship either through discussion or written report.85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded

#### LEST 480: Internship

Course SLOs assessed 1. Identify and explain discipline	Target / Measure Utilized Weekly and final reports submitted by the student and the student's	Actual %	Outcome
specific knowledge and skills required for success in a legal setting.	internship site. 85% of students participating in the course will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
<ol> <li>Operate and/or use specialized equipment, software and tools required within the environment.</li> </ol>	Weekly and final reports submitted by the student and the student's internship site mentor. 85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
3. Draft and revise documents used in various legal settings.	Weekly and final reports submitted by the student and the student's internship site mentor 85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
4: Perform legal research required within the environment.	Weekly and final reports submitted by the student and the student's internship site mentor 85% of students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
5. Identify how the organization uses technology to create a positive work environment and to handle conflicts.	Weekly and final reports submitted by the student. 85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded
<ol> <li>Work with others to accomplish specific goals in a legal environment.</li> </ol>	Weekly and final reports submitted by the student. 85% of the students will meet this goal.	100%	Exceeded

### Legal Studies Course Level Assessment "Problems" Identified - General

- High amount of variability in the results of the 3 sections of BSAD 201, possibly indicating a high amount of subjectivity in the way the ACCU rubric is applied and/or interpreted.
- In some courses, students were less successful with assignments requiring critical thinking. The course structure may need to be modified to include more assignments that require the skills identified in the AACU rubric.
- The rubrics we use for grading are not the same as the AACU rubrics, which then required time to regrade assignments using the AACU rubric for the purposes of this assessment reporting.
- The pre-test/post-test model does not yield specific enough results.

# "Closing the Loop"



Data-driven decisions: How the department has or plans to "close the loop" based on these results.

- Given these findings, what will the department do differently?
- General categories:
  - Utilize the AACU rubrics to develop/modify assignments.
  - Use the AACU rubrics as the grading rubrics across the curriculum.
  - Meet as a department with the assessment team to clarify the application of the AACU rubrics to different course levels; i.e., should we apply the rubric differently to students in a 100 level course versus a 400 level course?

What resources were used or have been requested to close the loop?

- Potential resources identified:
  - Individual faculty time revising within all courses.
  - Department faculty time spent making revisions to assessment artifacts.
  - Course and/or skill specific tutoring services for students.



# What changes to propose in the assessment process?

- Use the AACU rubrics for all courses to assess one SLO per semester rather than all course SLOs.
- Training with all Legal Studies faculty together (presumably by Kirk) so that we can fine-tune our assessment process and use uniform methods and measures to generate meaningful data.