Legal Studies School of Business and Liberal Arts 2019 Assessment Report



Curriculum Coordinator: Jondavid DeLong

Date of Presentation: January 16, 2020

What was assessed? Student learning outcomes list:

- ISLO 5: Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge and Skills Linked to Legal Studies PSLO's:
- PSLO 3: Understand the structure
- of the American Legal System
- PSLO 4: Understand the Roles of the Participants in the Legal System

What was assessed? Student learning outcomes list:

PLSO 5 Understand Court Jurisdiction

 PLSO 6 Find and Identify Common Law and Binding Judicial Decisions

 PSLO 8 Understand How Technology Impacts the Practice of Law

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

LEST 340 Fall & Spring 2019

- Students were assessed on their ability to prepare a case brief analyzing and applying the law to a case involving constitutional, statutory, and administrative law.
- 70% of students would score 80% or higher on the assignment.



Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

LEST 310 Spring 2019

- Students were assessed on their ability to complete a written assignment regarding primary and secondary authorities.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on the assignment.



Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students described the structure and functions of American federal and state courts, including courts of original jurisdiction and appellate courts.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #3.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students discussed and analyzed the roles of judges, attorneys, mediators, legal assistants, and others who act within the legal system, including ethical concerns.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #3.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students examined sources of federal and state law, including state and federal constitutions, statutes, administrative law, and common law.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #4.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- A portion of the final examination tested student ability to identify roles of participants in legal system.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on that portion of the examination.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and apply the meaning of, and legal issues surrounding marriage, civil unions and divorce.
- 80% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #3.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and explain the Family Court's approach to resolving issues involving children, such as custody, support, surrogacy, foster care and adoption.
- 75% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #9.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and explain issues involving domestic violence including child abuse and neglect, and family offenses.
- 75% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #14.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and explain issues involving juvenile misconduct including Juvenile Delinquency, Person in Need of Supervision, and age of criminal responsibility.
- 75% of students would score 80% or higher on quiz #14.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and explain the roles of judges, attorneys, mediators, legal assistants, and others who act within the legal system.
- All students will meet the goal within at least a "B" letter-grade range. (80%).



Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should understand and explain the jurisdictional requirements of federal and state courts.
- All students will show a minimum "B" level of understanding of the jurisdictional requirements of the state and federal courts. (80%).

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

BSAD 201 Spring 2019

- Students completed a fourth case brief at the end of semester having previously completed three others and received feedback.
- 80% of students will achieve a 80% or higher in the case brief.



Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

BSAD 201 Spring 2019

- Students completed both the Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 quizzes to test knowledge of Torts in a business context.
- 80% of students will achieve a 80% or higher o the chapter 5 & 6 quizzes.



Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students should recognize and describe the sources of law in the United States.
- 80% of students score 80% or better on Quiz #1.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students prepared a case brief for the case of Parker v. Twentieth Century Fox.
- 70% of students score 75% or better on the case brief.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students successfully briefed court cases.
- 70% of students score 70% or better on Assignment #4 (the final case brief assignment in the course).

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students demonstrated an understanding of how substantive law, case law, and precedent interact in business law.
- 80% of students score 80% or better on Quiz #2.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students were graded on an exam involving business related torts.
- 70% of students will attain a grade of 75 or higher on the exam.

How was the assessment accomplished? Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students described the business-related torts
- 80% of students score 80% or better on Quiz #5.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students described the principles of law pertaining to contracts.
- 80% of students score 70% or better on the final examination.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

BSAD 202 Spring 2019

- Students composed a legal memo on a set of facts surrounding the case of: Merritt v. Nationwide Warehouse Co., Ltd., 605 S.W.2d 250, 1980 Tenn. App. Lexis 338 (Court of Appeals of Tennessee).
- Students will score 90% or higher.

Industry, Professional, Discipline-Specific Knowledge

- Students took a Pre-Test containing questions keyed to the objective which are similar to those on the final examination. The Pre and Final exam scores were compared in aggregate.
- The students' scores will be 20 points higher on the final examination, demonstrating the intervention of the course in their knowledge base in the measured area.

<u>Subject</u>	Course	Sections Participating	Total Measures	<u>Outcome</u>	Semeste
LEST	340	2	2	100% Met	Spring/F
LEST	310	1	1	100% Met	Fall
		es - Overall Findings for ed for Assessment	PSLO 3	3	
Total Section	ons Assess	ed		3	
% Sections	Meeting or	Exceeding Target (of the	se assessed)	100%	

	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Course</u>	Sections Participating	Total Measures	<u>Outcome</u>	Semeste
	LEST	101	2	5	60% Met	Spring/Fa
	LEST	360	1	4	100% Met	Fall
	LEST	350	1	1	100% Met	
ļ	Program T	itle Course	es - Overall Findings for	PSLO 4		
•	Total Section	ons Selecte	d for Assessment		4	
•	Total Sections Assessed				4	
(% Measure	s Meeting	or Exceeding Target (of the	nose assessed)	80%	

Ass	sessment R	lesults - A	ACU VALUE Rubric for IS	SLO 5 - PSLO 5		
	Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total Measures	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>
	LEST	350	1	1	100% Met	
	Program T	itle Course	es - Overall Findings for	PSLO 5		
	Total Section	ons Selecte	d for Assessment	1		
	Total Section	ons Assess	ed		1	
	% Measure	es Meeting (or Exceeding Target (of th	nose assessed)	100%	

-

Ass	sessment R	esults - A	ACU VALUE Rubric for IS	SLO 5 - PSLO 6		
	Subject	Course	Sections Participating	Total Measures	<u>Outcome</u>	<u>Semester</u>
	LEST	310	1	1	100% Met	Fall
	LEST	449	1	5	100% Met	Spring
	Program T	itle Course	es - Overall Findings for	PSLO 6		
	Total Section	ons Selecte	d for Assessment	2		
	Total Section	ons Assess	ed		2	
	% Measure	es Meeting o	or Exceeding Target (of th	ose assessed)	100%	

V

			ed for Assessment		1	
		ons Assess		1)	1	
	% Measure	es Meeting	or Exceeding Target (of th	lose assessed)	100%	
	Recomme	∟ ndations, F	Reflections, and Notes:			
Atta	ached separ		<u>, </u>			
A) 4 - NI	Allows d Ossess Data			
ASS			on-Aligned Course Data	Total Massaumas	0	C
	<u>Subject</u>	Course	Sections Participating		Outcome CO CON	Semest
	BSAD	201	5	9	66.60%	Spring/F
	BSAD	202	2	8	100% Met	Spring/F
		itle Cours	es - Overall Findings for	PSLO 8		
	Program T				7	
		ons Selecte	ed for Assessment			
	Total Section	ons Selecte ons Assess			7	

American Legal System LEST 101-(Spring/Fall 2019)

 The biggest issue contributing to poor student achievement was lack of engagement in the course, including not attending class and not completing assignments.



Legal Research LEST 310 (Spring 2019)

- Students need help in approaching larger and more complex research projects
- An online tutor for the course would help put principles into practice and develop better approaches to larger research projects
- Offer the class in a Flex format

Constitutional Law LEST 340-(Spring/Fall 2019)

- Need methods to develop better critical thinking skills.
- Students struggle with seeing multiple aspects of issues that do not have clear answers. They have difficulty grasping that sometimes there are no clear answers to a question.
- Students have difficulty analyzing more court decisions.

Civil Litigation LEST 350 (Fall 2019)

 Students met targets and no comments or additional findings were reported.

Family Law LEST 360 (Fall 2019)

- Some students do not understand, despite specific instructions, that they need to justify their opinions by referring to, and citing relevant legal authorities.
- Add a specific module to address the need to use legal authority in forming opinions.



Advanced Legal Writing LEST 449 (Spring 2019)

 Students met targets and no comments or additional findings were reported.

Business Law I BSAD 201 (Spring/Fall 2019)

- The biggest issue contributing to poor student achievement was lack of engagement in the course, including not attending class and not completing assignments.
- Students fail to purchase the required materials for course.

Business Law II BSAD 202 (Spring/Fall 2019)

 The biggest issue contributing to poor student achievement was lack of engagement in the course, including not attending class and not completing assignments.



Data-driven decisions: How the department has or plans to "close the loop" based on these results.

- Find new ways to better get first-year students to engage with the materials.
- Encourage the college to examine writing across all curricula.
- Consider adding a tutor for LEST and Business Law courses.
- Offer LEST 310 in a Flex Format.



Data-driven decisions: How the department has or plans to "close the loop" based on these results.

- Revisions of LEST 101 and BSAD 201 to better engage first-year students.
- Consider changes to the FYEP program specific to Legal Studies students.

What resources were used or have been requested to close the loop?

- Faculty Time
- Funds for a tutor for LEST and Business Law courses.

What changes would you make to the Assessment Process?

The College needs to examine the Assessment in the Major process with an eye toward streamlining the process and assuring that AIMs are completed in a timely manner.

The College needs to better assess the FYEP program to determine how it serves students in particular programs.

