

Veterinary Service Administration School of Science, Health, & Criminal Justice 2016 Assessment Report



Curriculum Coordinator: Sophia Theodore

Date of Presentation: 1/20/17

What was assessed? Student learning outcomes list:

- <u>SLO 1</u> Recruit, hire, and supervise the training and evaluation of veterinary facility employees
- **SLO 2** Meet a veterinary facility's legal obligations
- <u>SLO 3</u> Secure **insurance** for a veterinary facility and its employees, and assist clients in securing health insurance for patients



What was assessed? Student learning outcomes list:

- <u>SLO 4</u> Establish **record-keeping** procedures for a veterinary facility
- <u>SLO 5</u> Seek accreditation of a veterinary facility
- <u>SLO 6</u> Research, plan, and manage the acquisition of veterinary facility inventory, equipment, and infrastructure

Student learning outcomes list cont:

- <u>SLO 7</u> Manage the financial records of a veterinary facility, develop financial reports and budgets
- <u>SLO 9</u> Manage veterinary service provision in a wide variety of settings, to include:
 - Mobile clinics
 - Ambulatory units
 - Emergency and specialty practice
 - Exotic animal practice
 - Biomedical research facilities
 - Animal shelters and spay/neuter clinics
 - Zoos
 - Veterinary diagnostic laboratories



Where were outcomes assessed?

- SLO 1 Recruit, hire, and supervise the training and evaluation of veterinary facility employees
 - VSAD 301 SLO 2
- SLO 2 Meet a veterinary facility's legal obligations
 - VSAD 301 SLOs 1 & 2



Where were outcomes assessed? cont.

- SLO 7 Manage the financial records of a veterinary facility, develop financial reports and budgets
 - VSAD 402 SLOs 3, 4, 7, 8



How was the assessment accomplished?

Student work assessed:

- Final Exam in VSAD 301
- Assignments in VSAD 402

Measurement strategy:

- Scores on assignments
- % of questions answered correctly on final exams

Sample size:

- 32 students in VSAD 402, all eligible students
 - At times there were students who didn't submit assignments, in which case the sample size was 28 to 31
- 59 students in VSAD 301, all eligible students



SLO 1 – Recruit, hire, and supervise the training and evaluation of veterinary facility employees

| Program SLO 1 - Recruit, hire, and supervise the training and evaluation of veterinary facility employees | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------|--|-----|--------|---------|
| Course | ourse Course SLO | | Final Exam Quest. | Target | Met | % Met | Met/Not |
| VSAD 301 | Oversee human resources in a veterinary practice. | | 16-32, 36-67 | 70% will answer 10 out of 14 correctly | 53 | 89.83% | Met |

SLO 2 – Meet a veterinary facility's legal obligations

| Program S | LO î | 2 - Meet a veterinary facility's legal obligations | | | # | | |
|-----------|------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|--------|---------|
| Course | Course SLO | | Final Exam Quest. | Target | Met | % Met | Met/Not |
| VSAD 301 | 1 | Certification requirements, responsibilities of vet hospital manager | 15 | 70% will answer question correctly | 45 | 76.27% | Met |
| VSAD 301 | 2 | Oversee human resources in a veterinary practice. | 33-35, 68-74 | 70% will answer 5 out of 6 correctly | 49 | 83.05% | Met |



SLO 7 – Manage the Financial Records of a Veterinary Facility, Develop Financial Reports & Budgets – Assessment Data

| Program SLO 7 - Manage the financial records of a veterinary facility, develop financial reports and budgets | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| Course Course SLO Assign/Final Exam | | Assign/Final Exam | Target | Met | % Met | Met/Not | |
| VSAD 402 | 3 | Make payroll tax deductions from employee paychecks | Payroll Assign | 70% will achieve a grade of 70 | 29 | 96.67% | Met |
| VSAD 402 | 4 | Know types of retiremt and investmt accounts; make contributions | Retiremt. Plan Assign | 70% will achieve a grade of 75 | 32 | 100.00% | Met |
| VSAD 402 | 7 | Create a budget for a veterinary practice and make a comparison | Budget Assign | 70% will achieve a grade of 75 | 19 | 61.29% | Not Met |
| VSAD 402 | 8 | Reconcile bank statements for a veterinary practice in Quickbooks | Bank Rec. Assign | 70% will achieve a grade of 75 | 31 | 93.90% | Met |



Critical Thinking Value Rubric for VSAD 402, 2016

Question: Take a look at the attached Balance Sheet from our class' veterinary practice and state, on a scale of 1 to 5 (with 5 being Excellent and 1 being Poor) the state of the practice's financial health. What data from this statement did you utilize to develop this opinion?

| | | Capstone | Milesto | nes | Benchmark |
|-----|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| _ | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| | | States that a Balance | | | |
| | | Sheet shows the rela- | States that a Balance Sheet | | |
| | | tionships among company | shows the rela-tionship | | Does not understand |
| E | xplanation of | Assets, Liabilities, and | between only two of these | Mentions only one of | what a Balance Sheet |
| I | ssues | Owner Equity | elements | these elements. | shows. |
| | | | | States status of only 1 | |
| | | | | element of Accounting | Does not mention any |
| E | vidence - | States status of all 3 | States status of only 2 | Equation, and/or does | elements of Accounting |
| ŀ | Accounting | elements of Accounting | elements of Accounting | not correctly describe | Equation; gives other |
| E | quation | Equation | Equation | it. | explanations |
| | | Not swayed by negative | | | |
| I | nfluence of | values on the Balance | | | Opinion swayed by all 3 |
| (| Context and | Sheet, depreciation, or | Opinion swayed by one of | Opinion swayed by any | of these, or by something |
| ŀ | Assumptions | liabilities | these | two of these | else |
| | | | | | |
| - 1 | Student's | | States there could be more | | |
| F | osition | Recognizes it's not a | than one viable method of | States more than one | Gives a different |
| (| perspectives, | matter of opinion; gives | interpretation, but that we | method of | interpretation without |
| t | hesis / | the standard | should comply with the | interpretation could be | mentioning the standard |
| ľ | nypothesis) | interpretation | standard method | equally valid | interpretation. |
| | | | | | |
| ш | Conclusions and | | | | States financial status as |
| F | Related | States financial status as 4 | | States financial status | 1 or poor, or does not |
| (| Outcomes | or 5, good or excellent | or medium | as 2 | state financial status. |



VSAD 402 Critical Thinking Rubric Results

Target: 70% of students will receive 14 out of 20 possible points on this measure.

| | | Evidence | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
|----|---------|------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|---------|----------|----|
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | | 3 | | | 3 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | | | | 0 | 0 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | | | | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| | | | Capstone | Miles | tones | Benchmark | | | |
| # | Student | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Totals | | |
| 19 | [Anon] | Explanation of Issues | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Evidence | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | | 3 | | | 3 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | | | 2 | | 2 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | | 3 | | | 3 | 16 | 1 |
| | | | Capstone | Miles | tones | Benchmark | | | |
| # | Student | | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Totals | | |
| 20 | [Anon] | Explanation of Issues | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Evidence | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | 4 | | | | 4 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | 4 | | | | 4 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | 4 | | | | 4 | 20 | 1 |
| | | % of Students that Met Target: | 70.0% | Total # of Students that Met Target: | | | 14 | | |
| | | Target Met/Not Met Overall: | MET | # of S | tudent | s Who Comp | leted M | leasure: | 20 |

Critical Thinking Value Rubric for VSAD 302, 2016

Question: You are the Director of a humane society that is known in the community as a "no-kill" shelter. However, your facility does euthanize animals that are too ill or injured to be treated within a reasonable distance or at a reasonable cost. When certain members of your community become aware of this fact, they plan to stage an angry protest at your shelter. What would you do to dispel their anger and preserve the shelter's reputation in the community?

| | Capstone | Milesto | nes | Benchmark |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Explanation of | Demonstrates a thorough understanding of the contentiousness of the euthanasia issue at humane | Understands there is a euthanasia conflict, but | Understands there is a problem but lacks knowledge or confi- | Demonstrates little awareness or understanstanding of the |
| issues | societies | depth of it | dence in resolving it | euthansasia conflict |
| Evidence | Aware they must com- municate with community members to ascertain their beliefs and inten-tions, and to respond | Wishes to avoid the conflict, but will respond politely if confronted | Will make every effort to avoid the conflict | Intends to reciprocate with anger |
| | | | | |
| Influence of context and assumptions | Demonstrates an understanding of public opinion and the need for education on the subject | Somewhat aware of public opinion on the subject | Minimal awareness of public opinion on the subject | No understanding of public opinion on the subject |
| Student's | | | | |
| <u>Position</u> | Holds a well-thought-out | | | Has no opinion, does not |
| (perspectives, | position, while | | Has some beliefs that | state opinion, or opinion |
| thesis / | understanding the | Holds a position that has | may not be based in | is not based in fact or not |
| hypothesis) | positions of others | not been deeply explored | fact | relevant. |
| Related | Has some thoughtful ideas for resolution of the | Has a few ideas | Has some ideas that have not been thought | No ideas for resolution |
| Outcomes | problem | Has a few ideas | through | No ideas for resolutio |



VSAD 302 Critical Thinking Rubric Results

Target: 70% of students will receive 14 out of 20 possible points on this measure.

| | | | Capstone | Miles | tones | Benchmark | | | |
|----|---------|------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------|-------|
| # | Student | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Totals | | |
| 49 | [Anon] | Explanation of Issues | 4 | | | | 4 | i l | |
| | | Evidence | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | 4 | | | | 4 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | 4 | | | | 4 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | 4 | | | | 4 | 20 | 1 |
| | | | Capstone | Miles | tones | Benchmark | | | |
| # | Student | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Totals | | |
| 50 | [Anon] | Explanation of Issues | | | | 0 | 0 | i | |
| | | Evidence | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | | | 2 | | 2 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | | | | 0 | 0 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | | | 2 | | 2 | 8 | 0 |
| | | | Capstone | Miles | tones | Benchmark | | | |
| # | Student | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | Totals | | |
| 51 | [Anon] | Explanation of Issues | | 3 | | | 3 | | 0 |
| | | Evidence | 4 | | | | 4 | | |
| | | Influence of Context & Assumptions | | 3 | | | 3 | Ttl for | |
| | | Student's Position | | 3 | | | 3 | Studnt | |
| | | Conclusions & Related Outcomes | | 3 | | | 3 | 16 | 1 |
| | | • | • | | Total # | of Students t | hat Me | t Target: | 47 |
| | | | | # (| of Stude | ents Who Com | pleted N | Measure: | 51 |
| | | | | | % | of Students t | hat Me | t Target: | 92.16 |

Assessment results: What have the data told us? cont.

• I believe the unmet SLO in VSAD 402 was at least in part due to the fact that sometimes students don't want to think. Some re-entered or relied upon data they'd produced in a previous assignment, but they were supposed to have started fresh on this one.



Data-driven decisions: How the department has or plans to "close the loop" based on these results.

 Interpretation of reports will be covered in more depth in the future. Students were usually able to produce the assigned reports in the course as long as they followed the instructions, but sometimes they didn't know what the reports they had produced meant. This had been covered with them, but there needs to be more emphasis on it in the future.



Problems Solved

- Failure to award course credit to transfer students
 - As stated in the 2016 Program Narrative, this had been the greatest problem, by far, faced by the program within the past 10 years, and had been ongoing for over two years. It crippled students' ability to register for many of their courses and added immensely to the workload of the Curriculum Coordinator and other entities on campus. Many attempts to resolve this problem had been unsuccessful.
- In August 2016, the Curriculum Coordinator and Department
 Chair reviewed the folders of incoming students, fully
 anticipating that this problem would be identified, and
 intending to have it addressed at that time. However, for most
 transfer students, most transfer credit was duly noted! The
 problem was also not identified during fall advising!

Problems Solved cont.

- Another set of problems stated in the 2016 Program Narrative was the many challenges that arose from the use of a virtual server to store the software used in the VSAD 402 course. A possible change under consideration at that time was replacement of the virtual server with an online application known as Quickbooks Online (QBO). This change took place in August 2016.
 - With this new application, the course ran far more smoothly than it had in 2015. In fact, none of the problems that had surfaced in 2015 came to light with QBO in 2016!
 - However, QBO presented its own challenges, the first of which was that
 it is almost completely different from the Quickbooks Desktop
 application used the previous year.



Problems Solved cont.

- In addition, the instructor access to the software was provided as a part of the student access package, with the same 5-month access window. What this meant was that if the instructor wanted to retain access to the software until December -- when the course ended -- she would have to wait until August to gain it. The combined effect of these two challenges was that the instructor had to learn how to use the software as she went along, and just as soon as she had learned to use it herself, she then had to turn right around and write use instructions for the students.
- But despite the difficulties wrought by the introduction of QBO, it was well worth it to make the transition to this application.

