Area Characteristics of a Strong Applicat	tion Characteristics of a Weak Application
---	--

Preface	Must have: Personnel Action Form, Faculty Information	These key items are missing.
(General Information)	Forms for every year since hire, Curriculum Vitae, Credit	
<u>, </u>	Validation (if applicable), past recommendations	
	regarding reappointment/promotion. Portfolio should	
	address all five areas (Mastery of Subject Matter,	
	Teaching Effectiveness, Scholarly Ability, Effectiveness	
	of University Service, and Continuing Growth).	
	http://www.canton.edu/human_resources/pdf/pershand.pdf	
	The application has been proofread for spelling,	The application has significant
	grammar, and syntax.	misspellings, errors in grammar, and
		errors in syntax.
	The Curriculum Vitae/résumé is up to date.	The Curriculum Vitae/résumé is outdated.
		Publications are not listed in standard
		bibliographic formats.
	Activities are included in the appropriate sections of the	Activities are listed haphazardly with little
	application (Mastery of Subject Matter, Teaching	or no indication of why they have been
	Effectiveness, Scholarly Ability, Effectiveness of	listed in the section they are in.
	University Service, and Continuing Growth) with a clear	
	articulation of why the activity belongs in that section.	
	Application provides evidence of noteworthy	Narrative self-declares that the case is
	achievements allowing the reviewer to assess the level of	noteworthy.
	noteworthiness and to conclude that it was noteworthy.	
	Narrative illuminates and expands on information in the	Narrative merely repeats what is in the
	Curriculum Vitae/résumé, providing insight and context	Curriculum Vitae/ résumé.
	for why the activities were done, why they are important,	
	and how they are aligned with the University's mission.	
	Each of the five areas should have a narrative.	NTo distinction is modelly transcription
	Focus is on activities since the last personnel action	No distinction is made between new
	evaluation.	activities and ones that were previously presented.
Mastery of Subject	Credentials – Copy of official transcripts (undergraduate	

Area	Characteristics of a Strong Application	Characteristics of a Weak Application
<u>Matter</u>	and graduate), licenses, and certifications. For specific discipline information, refer to the applicable rank matrix.	
Teaching Effectiveness	Application provides a variety of evidence of teaching effectiveness, including student course comments, peer reviews (teaching partners, classroom visits), summaries of student feedback, evidence that teaching methods were effective in meeting learning outcomes and engendering student success. Note: If student course comments are included, <u>all</u> comments for the class should be included—not only positive ones.	Application includes only student course comments as evidence of teaching effectiveness and little beyond. If student course comments are included, only selected comments are presented, not a complete set of comments.
	Application includes all student course comments since the last personnel action.	Application does not include all student course comments—missing course comments cannot be found or were never administered.
	Student course comments have either consistently strong scores or a trend of improvement in scores to strong levels in all areas.	Student course comments are inconsistent, show declining trends, or are weak in one or more areas.
	Application narrative describes <u>how</u> outcome assessments and results of student course comments were used to improve teaching and learning .	Application does not discuss outcome assessments or ways in which student course comments were used to improve teaching and learning in any significant way. Application says outcomes/evaluations were used to improve courses, but doesn't explain how.
	Where changes in a particular discipline dictate, application narrative describes development of new courses and substantive changes in existing courses.	
Scholarly Ability	Application provides an organized presentation of	Application provides a mixed list of

Area	Characteristics of a Strong Application	Characteristics of a Weak Application
	activities, separated by category (publications, presentations, etc.) listed in chronological order. Appropriate bibliographic citations are given. Copies of publications or electronic links are provided. For presentations, dates and venues are provided.	activities, making it difficult to determine what each activity was.
	Application demonstrates a sustained involvement in scholarship over the time the candidate has been at SUNY Canton.	Application reflects a recent spurt of activity, occurring just prior to the request for promotion or tenure or primarily includes accomplishments prior to working at SUNY Canton.
	Copies of publications are provided.	No copy of the publication is provided and the application does not make it clear if a publication (such as a conference paper) exists.
	For publications and presentations with multiple authors, information is provided about the applicant's contribution .	No information is provided as to the candidate's level of contribution for multi-author papers or presentations.
	The narrative provides insight into the big picture of the research effort—why the topic was chosen, what has been accomplished, and future goals for the research. If consulting is listed under scholarly work, the application explains how the consulting resulted in discovery or analysis.	The narrative provides a duplicate list to what has already been included in the curriculum vitae/résumé. Consulting is listed with little or no further explanation.
	If grants are listed under scholarly work, the application explains how developing the grant constituted scholarship. (If listed in another category, explanation is provided as to why the grant should be considered in that category.) External grants are clearly distinguished from internal grants.	Grants are listed with little or no further explanation. No distinction is made between internal and external grants; applications for internal equipment funds are listed as if they are extended grants.
Effectiveness of	Narrative describes applicant's role in advising , number	Advising or its alternative is not

Area Characteristics of a Strong Application	Characteristics of a Weak Application
--	---------------------------------------

University Service	of advisees, and what evidence of successful advising	mentioned in the narrative.
	and/or innovations in advising.	
	Service work includes accomplishments while on	Service work consists largely of activities
	standing committees, ad hoc committees, faculty	that are fundamental expectations for all
	governance committees, search committees, work with	faculty members, such as attending
	student organizations, service to relevant professional	commencement, keeping office hours,
	societies, and community service applicable to SUNY	and attending school meetings.
	Canton.	
	Types of service are appropriately sorted into service to	Types of service are mixed together on a
	department, service to school, service to university,	list, with no indication of what kind of
	professional service, and applicable community service.	committee it is or the candidate's role on
	Any leadership roles (committee chair, etc.) are clearly	it.
	indicated.	
	Application narrative indicates the role the candidate	Application narrative lists the
	played on the committee. For departmental and school	committees the candidate was on, but not
	committees with which the reviewers might be less	what the candidate did.
	familiar, the narrative provides some basic information	
	about the committee itself—major agenda items, how	
	many people were on it, how often it met, etc.	
	If consulting is listed under service, explains how the	Lists consulting clients with little or no
	consulting benefitted SUNY Canton to which it was in	further explanation.
	service, and includes examples of how consulting	
	contributed.	
	Items listed under Service are of clear benefit to the	Items are listed under Service that are
	department, school, college or SUNY's mission,	primarily of benefit only to the candidate.
	profession, or the community.	

Continuing Growth	Application provides an organized presentation of	Application provides a mixed list of

Area	Characteristics of a Strong Application	Characteristics of a Weak Application
	activities, separated by category (short courses, workshops, conferences, seminars attended, self-study, etc.), listed in chronological order, with dates and venues provided.	activities, with no distinction as to type or how involved the activity was.
	Each activity is briefly described as to the sponsor, the content, and the amount of time involved. If a certificate of completion was awarded, a copy is included with the application.	Application provides only a list of activities, with little or no description provided.
	Information is provided about the applicant's level of involvement with the activity. Meaningful participation is described. Any examinations taken, certifications obtained, or a products produced are indicated.	Application provides only a list of activities, with little or no description provided.
	Activities listed are directly related to the applicant's teaching or research.	Activities listed are mandatory activities for all faculty (advising updates, new faculty orientation, etc.)
	If consulting is listed under professional growth and development, the application explains how the consulting contributed to the candidate's professional development.	Lists consulting with little or no further explanation.
Supportive Letters	Letters of support describe activities and accomplishments during the assessment period. Letters are from a professional colleague who is knowledgeable about the candidate's academic discipline, current activities and accomplishments. The letter explains what the candidate did and why the activities and accomplishments discussed are noteworthy.	Letters of support describe what the reviewer has heard, rather than observed. The letter provides a list of activities, but doesn't explain why they were important. The reviewer is a friend or neighbor of a former colleague who addresses activities and accomplishments that occurred prior to the candidates being at SUNY Canton.